REMARKS

Claims 1-18 are pending. By this amendment, claims 16-18 are added, and claims 1-4, 6, 8-13 and 15 have been amended solely to correct minor informalities and to better recite the features of the invention. No new matter has been added. Support for the amendments made to claims 1-4, 6, 8-13 and 15 as well as for the features recited in claims 16-18 can at least be found in Figures 1-6 and 8 of Applicants' originally filed specification.

In view of the above amendments and the following Remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and timely withdrawal of the pending objections and rejections for the reasons discussed below.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent 6,445,122 issued to Chuang, et al. (hereinafter "Chuang"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants respectfully submit that in Chuang, the nanotube emitter layer (60) is only disposed on one surface of the cathode layer (58) (Figures 2 and 3 of Chuang). Nowhere does Chuang disclose a field emission display device, comprising ...a carbon nanotube emitter disposed on a portion of two surfaces of the cathode electrode ..., as recited in amended claim 1. Similarly, nowhere does Chuang disclose a field emission display device, comprising ... a one carbon nanotube emitter continuously covering a portion of two surfaces of the cathode electrode for emitting electrons toward the phosphor layer ..., as recited in amended claim 11. Similarly, nowhere does Chuang disclose a field emission display device, comprising ...a carbon nanotube emitter disposed on the cathode electrode, the carbon nanotube emitter covering a portion of two

Chan Jae LEE, et. al. Application No.: 09/978,271

surfaces of the cathode electrode including a portion where the two surfaces of the cathode electrode meet, as recited in amended claim 12.

For at least these reasons, Applicants submit that Chuang fails to disclose all the features recited in claims 1, 11 and 12, as well as all the features recited in claims 2-10 and 16, 17, and 13-15 and 18, which depend from claims 1, 11 and 12, respectively. Thus, Chuang fails to anticipate all the features recited in claims 1-18. It is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 1-15 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) be withdrawn.

Chan Jae LEE, et. al.

Application No.: 09/978,271

CONCLUSION

Applicants believe that a full and complete response has been made to the pending Office

Action and respectfully submit that all of the stated objections and grounds for rejection have

been overcome or rendered moot. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that all pending

claims are allowable and that the application is in condition for allowance.

Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of this

response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' undersigned attorney at the number

below to expedite prosecution.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Reply is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Hae-Chan Park

Reg. No. 50,114

Date: August 20, 2003

McGuireWoods LLP

1750 Tysons Boulevard

Suite 1800

McLean, VA 22102-4215

Tel: 703-712-5365

Fax: 703-712-5280

HCP:MMI/mmi

\\COM\204014.1

--9--